

Re-Forming Western Civilization, *In Partes Tres*

Out With Political Science - In With Political Philosophy

Strategic Goal And Posture: *Vigilant Quiet*

Foreign And Domestic Policies To Implement *Vigilant Quiet*

by a Theologian

27MAR13

Out With Political Science - In With Political Philosophy

The "social sciences" were created to advocate Communism.

"Social science" is an engine of oppression. Its practitioners are *soi-disant* would-be overlords rueing their atheistic assumptions for disallowing them to pretend, as clerics can, transcendent license. "Social science" is neither social nor scientific. It is a disease.

Social science is Communist advocacy. Its axis is political science. Its brace is psychology. Its face is sociology. Its goad is economics. It claims some legitimate disciplines as its own, but these four are all it really is. The rest it claims have their own aseity which, pursued, subvert social science and Communism. For example, Communications.

Observing political science, the axis of social science: it revels in statistical analysis and runs from ethics and philosophy. It ducks the direct and non-empirical. This is ironic and hypocritical. Communism - its runners currently prefer the designations "Progressivism" or "Liberalism" - claims to be ethical, compassionate. In reality Communism doesn't give a damn about anybody's self, but it is self-serving folderol, self-promoting lies and worse. Statistical analysis devoid of phenomenological and ontological thought - that is, ethics - can justify anything. Political scientists know that, as do their acolytes in entertainment and government, the which, and especially entertainment, are reduced, by the "social sciences," from august purposes to Communist advocacy.

Observe Eliot Freidson. He talks not about professionalism or the professions but about delusions which, he thinks to spread about, guarantee perpetuity for his rice bowls, to include drug supplies. Freidson describes his experience in a *soi-disant* hierarchical elite of *soi-disant* elitists, but without benefit of the heavy claim of transcendent authority. He wishes he could have that but he can't, too religious, poor sod. There have been people and groups all along the course of history who tried to make a religion of their overweening positions in life with or without appeal to transcendent authority, but these have little staying power.

The guy ought to become a cleric. Clerics are the real masters of delusion-based oppression. They foster and foist the delusion of their special nearer-ness to God and therefore overweening authority against all creatures. That is [the delusion Luther cut clean across](#), liberating Europe, or better, liberating the Church from the churches, Christ from clerics.

Unlike genuine professionals - Clergy/Teachers, Doctors, Lawyers, Soldiers - Freidson has no thought that the professor owes service to the student and the non-student, and in equal measure. And to their mother country. A professor is a constructor of the nation and civilization, a servant of fellow nationals and of all humanity. Professionals are servants. This reality is foreign to Freidson's mind and manners.

A Freidson "professional" lodges in a *saloon de glitterati*, scorning "amateurs," whom he keeps at bay or death's door. "Amateurs" - the uncertified, as Freidson would have it - are outsiders, second and third

rate things. His thinking is hierarchical, his world-view categorical and stereotypical, his ethics totalitarian, which is to say, a-moral. Communist.

That "professional" deserves to be hung by his thumbs at the nearest power pole. He has no moral philosophy. If he is *glitterati* in his mind, beyond reproach. He deserves punishment, not prestige. Just government does exactly that with the likes of Eliot Freidson.

Professions have nothing to do with Medieval Guilds. They are a *prius* of history and have been present throughout, no matter the type of society, culture, civilization. Professionals are the principal servants of nations, humanity and civilization. Every professional knows that they are that. None would consider using concepts and phrases Eliot Freidson uses. They are unprofessional.

Sociology, like political science, is widely known as a bullshit "discipline." Made-up, ideological, tendentious, bumptious, self-promoting lies or nonsense. All invented post-Marx to promote Communism.

[College: Where Free Speech Goes To Die](#)

[The American College and University As Left Wing Seminary](#)

When I was in formal school, even high school, there was no political science. There was political philosophy. It was an honors course in my high school. (Recently, such are called AP courses.) I took it, my favorite course in high school. We read many great philosophers on politics, meaning, the purpose and art of government. It was thrilling.

Later, people in political philosophy wanted to be liked by hard scientists, so they changed from politics to ideology (Communist, of course) and from philosophy to statistics, thinking real scientists would accept them. Didn't happen. Won't happen. Everyone knew/knows political science is bullshit. But it gives political science bullshitters a rice bowl, which is what it's worth. And it gives politicians tricks for stealing elections and pointers on opportunities for graft, which means, stealing a nation's wealth.

Political philosophy, however, was and remains, if ever done, profoundly enlightening and useful to the mission of building and maintaining civilization. The works in this field of inquiry are of great number, though few are of recent provenance. Most of my acquaintance repay study and reflection, whether one agrees with their premises, promises and programs or not. Reading political philosophy taught me to attend assumptions. All have them. Many are unexamined by their authors. Premises one detests are as valuable to see as premises one admires. Such are irreplaceable lessons in study and reflection that I try to pass along.

Adam Smith, a scion of the Scottish Enlightenment, was Professor of Moral Philosophy (at Glasgow), which in my formal school days translated to political philosophy, not economics. "Economics" did not exist as an academic field in Adam's day. Nor did "sociology."

The four bullshit "disciplines" of social science - sociology, political science, psychology, economics - are ideological and Communist. All

arose post-Marx as efforts to spread Communist confusion among the *bourgeoise*, i.e., producers, builders and savers. The “social sciences” were created to promote Communism. Even though it works against them, “the rich” - trust-funders, landed families, welfare junkies, drug addicts, other idle rich - join *intelligentsia* to advocate Communism because they are supercilious and nauseated by *bourgeoise*, “working people.”

The four bullshit “disciplines” rely on psychotropic drugs. A way must be found to wean United States voters off these, legal and “illegal” alike, despite the promotion of same by governments, criminal and other terrorist networks, corporations and NGOs. I do not see or know how to do that peacefully. So I have no authority to speak on the subject.

Supply methamphetamine to whomever wants it?

This *can* be done peacefully: reinvigorate political philosophy as antidote to “political science” specifically and “social science” generally. This brings forth Burke, Plato, Augustine, Hume, Smith, Machiavelli, Benedict of Nursia, Joachim of Fiore, Kant, Hegel, St. Paul, Schelling, Krishna, Rousseau, Madison, Marshall, Bentham, Marx, Mill, Nietzsche, Erasmus, Hamilton, Comte, Abelard, Benedict, Locke, Qutb, Kirk, Tillich, Whitehead, Wahhab, Vyasa, Hammurabi, Mark Durie, Rama, Valmiki, Ashoka, Weber, Hobbs, Pascal, Guyon and the rest.

Bringing forth political philosophy forces ethics, epistemology and metaphysics into reflection, strategic and tactical construction and policy. There is a line by [Russell Kirk](#):

*A handful of individuals, some of them quite unused to moral responsibilities on such a scale, made it their business to extirpate the populations of **Nagasaki and Hiroshima**; we must make it our business to curtail the possibility of such snap decisions.*

Political science is about statistics. "Body counts," if you will. Statistics are bloodless and malleable. Political philosophy is about experience. It is alive and demonstrable. One is about command and control. The other, freedom and governance. One is about ephemera. The other, elementals. One is about looting the wealth of nations. The other, punishing bad actors.

A Warrior Scholar states:

The thing most painfully lacking from political science is any discussion of ethics/philosophy. Because ethics/philosophy cannot be empirically analyzed it is avoided like the plague. This is ironic, considering the "liberal" ideology is sold as being ethical/nice/humanitarian/etc., while in reality it is self-serving crap. Statistical analysis devoid of philosophical/ethical thought can justify absolutely anything.

Ethics is a man reunited with his Origin and laboring through all his faculties to execute not his will but the will of his Origin, which is that he be a full, real Man in the liberty of his Origin.

The "social sciences" were pushed into academe in Europe and then in the United States by the [Frankfort School](#), who were nearly all Jewish Marxists. Marxism is a secular Jewish attack on Christianity and not merely Christianity as the churches but Christianity as the Christ Himself, Realized, Matured Man, man beyond good and evil (knowing which is the curse of "the Fall"), man grown up, "come of age," as Bonhoeffer put it. Free of the delusion of duality.

Envy and hatred of Western man as he truly is, a Man in Christ the Man, a Creature liberated even from his creature-hood, birthed the "social sciences." Communism aims to re-enslave the free under pretense of saving them - who, of course, are already saved! A prodigious delusion. A God-pretending delusion. Every Communist's dream is a boy-man to play the part of Almighty Oomph. At the moment they have one. Envy of Free Man in Christ drives Communists, as Manthara's envy of Lord Rama drives *The Rama Story*.

The duties of government are:

- Provide potable water.
- Plant trees.
- Punish evil doers.

Protecting the righteous is God's duty, not government's.

The West is Jesus the Christ, of Nazareth and God. The East is Rama the Lord, of Ayodhya and Being Itself (*esse ipsum*). Rama the Lord is Father of Jesus the Christ. The Sai Incarnations are the concrete Spirit of God proceeding from Rama the Lord and Jesus the Christ.

The foundation of Western Civilization is *The Rule* of Saint Benedict of Nursia. *The Rule* is, therefore, the foundation of Western political philosophy. It is that to which we go forth and come home. *The Rule* is the concrete Spirit of God in Christ. It is the Christ who is the West.

What government subsidizes burns government and destroys liberty and civilization. Government's purpose is regulating activity with a view to maintaining its freedom universally unimpeded. That is, with a view to protecting and defending civilization. Regulation, not subsidy, is the purpose of government. People can take care of themselves and one another. Guaranteeing their freedom to do that, as they themselves see fit, is good government.

Bullies do not belong in government. Government exists to punish bullies, to keep them away from activity. When bullies take over government, freedom cries out, pleasant, constructive activity ceases, destruction spreads and one hears men *groaning for burial*. Then there is revolution and then, frequently, demagogic tyranny.

Communists are [bullies](#). They do not govern. They rule. The United States, currently, has no government. It has rulers. Communists.

Given really the dodgy challenges that we face, it's important that President-Elect Obama is prepared to really take power and begin to rule day one.

[Valerie Jarrett](#), 09NOV08, with Tom Brokaw on NBC's *Meet The Press*

Strategic Goal And Posture: *Vigilant Quiet*

What would a Government of the United States be doing today? I have addressed this question [here](#) and [here](#), the first regarding foreign policy and the second regarding both foreign and domestic policy.

First is needed a strategic posture governed by a joint domestic and strategic goal of the United States.

Today the United States has no strategic goal and therefore no strategic posture because she is in the thrall of rulers rather than a government. Rulers, bullies, are allergic to strategic thought. It disgusts them. They have no time for it, only for high-living and over-lording. They are by definition insane criminals, for, only the criminally insane wish to bully and rule other creatures. The criminally insane are incapable of strategic thought. Their impulse is, *[Cry Havoc!](#)*

Governors, on the other hand, yearn for strategic thought and do all in their power to get it accurate and right.

Here, for those inclined to govern rather than rule, is suggested a strategic goal of the United States: hold open and free-running world-wide lines of communication - between all individuals, groups, and nations - in diplomacy, finance, business, education, national sovereignty, travel, religion, media, government, invention, private property, electricity, water, land, air, space and electronics.

Here, again for those inclined to govern rather than rule, is suggested a strategic posture of the United States: be prepared and united in all sections of government expeditiously to punish lethally all threats, no matter where sourced, to open, free-running lines of communication between all individuals, groups, and nations wherever situated. And, be prepared so either unilaterally or multi-laterally in cooperation with India and/or Russia. Let Tiger, Bear and Eagle work together to make and maintain the world cool and free.

(The United Nations took birth defective, decadent and irrelevant. It is neither West nor East and embodies from inception a colossal stupidity: proposing equal status among perpetually dis-equal entities. The UN is Communist advocacy.)

Let us call this strategic goal and posture *Vigilant Quiet*. We may think of it blending, in foreign policy, Roosevelt's "Speak softly, and carry a big stick." with, in domestic policy, Moynihan's infelicitously phrased "benign neglect." (Better than "benign neglect" would be "obstacle removal," meaning, clear the way for free exercise of natural human ingenuity.)

The strategic goal and posture of *Vigilant Quiet* embodies these actions: watch 'em like a hawk and stay out of other people's business unless they are bullying one, and if they are, whack 'em till they get the message to knock it off. This requires maintenance of decisive military force, which is one of the three main roles of government: to punish evil doers. The others are: provide potable water and plant trees.

A modern phenomenon supports the strategic goal and posture of *Vigilant Quiet*: the world is electronically enveloped, so that a twitch here travels at the speed of light to everywhere, a sound there is heard everywhere, a purchase here cascades data and other consequences to the ends of the earth. Not only so, but consequences of any action persist through time and well as penetrate through space. Whisper now and it will be shouted everywhere for a thousand years.

This "interconnected world" is a hot rather than a cool place. Every action heats the network. Hot networks are dangerous networks. Electricity is fire. Therefore, *Quiet* is the preferred - and self-preserving - strategic goal and posture of a nation in modern conditions. And with that, *Vigilance* bound to the ability to strike rapidly and decisively to defeat threats - any kind of threats, coming from anywhere at any time.

The "interconnected world" makes everything that happens a legitimate national security concern, and for every nation on earth. It is neither realistic nor possible to maintain that, because some country is at a distance from one's country, one's country cannot operate against that country if it feels itself under attack, from a distance, by some means, from that country. The Monroe Doctrine applies globally. The electronic network made it so.

The United States, for example, is within its national sovereignty prerogatives to inform China to put a full stop to cyber attacks originating from its territory or the United States will stop them for it, by whatever means the United States deems needful. In this scenario, the United

States would be telling China to decrease the heat in the network or the United States would heat it up past the point China deems safe for itself.

A similar communication is deserved by North Korea, Pakistan, Iran, Afghans and Saudis.

Interconnected-ness entices the "multi-culture"/"multi-polar"/"diversity" cohort to declare nations things of the past, obsolete, and to describe the world as one surface supporting conflicting or cooperating cultures, like balls on a pool table - with, of course, an *über*-government of superior adepts/players (*Übermenschen*) making and managing the conflicts and cooperations. By pokes and prods. In other words, Communist advocacy, again. Rulers. Envious bullies. That's the "multi-culture"/"multi-polar"/"diversity" cohort.

Actually, interconnected-ness gives nations claim on each others' territory if they perceive themselves under attack originating from that territory. Interconnected-ness by electronic network (fire) **reifies** nationhood to universal **purview**.

As Teilhard would see it, interconnected-ness heats the world, driving compression, aggregation and finally fresh "polymerization" of life force (Christ) on earth. Resulting is a novel efflorescence of inorganic, organic, psychic, spiritual and historical being. The electronic network makes nations intensified nodes on a universal, distributed network. Network security depends on nodal[national] integrity.

"Polymerization" is Teilhard's description of this phenomenon. Tillich's is "drive towards concrete Spirit." *Noosphere* is Teilhard's and Vernadsky's term for a novel efflorescence of being caused by heat, compression, aggregation and "polymerization." The world-wide electronic network intensifies the *raison d'être* of nations. Geologists have arguably the clearest sight of what happens.

The phenomenal consequence of interconnectedness is not widely grasped but abundantly real. In an interconnected world, I have an interest in what goes on anywhere at all. That means my mother nation does as well. And I want her to. A "multi-polar world" is a world of increased not diminished national strategic interest and involvement. The "multi-cultis" got it wrong. Communists always do.

The electronic network (fire) commends *Vigilant Quiet* as the strategic goal and posture of a nation. One wants the network cool, not hot. To achieve and maintain that condition, one forebears to pulse the network, to act on it, except in view of an attack across the network on oneself, whereon one lights up the network to a heat one's attacker does not survive.

Foreign And Domestic Policies To Implement *Vigilant Quiet*

Domestic Policy

Here I address domestic policy in the framework of a political philosophy, *Vigilant Quiet*. War against the United States that occurs inside the United States is more dangerous to the United States than war against the United States that occurs outside the United States. Foreign war is won with some ease. Domestic war is difficult to win because, long unacknowledged, its perpetrators's hands come to grasp the mechanisms of government. Then the assumed government of the nation is no government but a cabal of rulers who are the nation's enemy.

Where to start? The war of drugs, legal and illegal.

Winning the war of illegal drugs requires military operations in countries producing and transporting them and legal operations in the United States to extirpate peddlers and financiers. Rulers of the United States are deeply involved in the illegal drug trade, as are "terrorists." Rooting them out is dangerous. There will be shrieks and blood-shed.

Winning the war of legal drugs, the so-called "life-style" psychotropic drugs, requires bully pulpit and decertification of the drugs themselves. Rulers of the United States are deeply involved in the legal drug trade, as are "terrorists." Rooting them out is dangerous. There will be shrieks and blood-shed.

Then, RICO and otherwise prosecute the parasites, such as race hustlers, "defense contractors," "black community leaders," organized crime/terrorist combinations, bankers/"investors"/manipulators too big to be seen, entertainers/propagandists, politicians, social science teachers, "activists," public employee unions, welfare and drug junkies and frivolous or high-handed litigators, attorney and client alike.

Psychotropic drugs, legal and illegal, are a multi-sourced, foreign and domestic strategic attack on the Constitution, the sovereignty and the welfare of the United States.

Bullies exist in all times and climes. Their extraordinarily enormous numbers, spread and positions today are down to psychotropic drugs, legal and illegal.

Next, the war on children and elders.

Winning the war on children and elders is partly integral with winning the war of drugs. But not entirely. Also required is bully pulpit and subsistence of impulses to adultery, fornication, promiscuity and divorce. How to accomplish the latter? Adjustments in diet of all five senses, prosecution, education, abandonment, adjustments in company kept, change of scenery, healthy, happy activity that is found fun, desirable, periodic quiet and solitude, laughter, dance and singing, exposure to the lives and works of saints and sages.

Extraordinary numbers of individuals are “discovering” they are queer because “being queer” today is a socially acceptable way to bully children and elders.

Government subsidy supports the war on children and elders. Once government subsidizes - anything - it takes and extends control far beyond the point of the subsidy. Busybodies far from the ground pile up to dictate what is and is not, what should and should not. That narrows the existence of a nation’s largest population, children and elders. Narrowing existence is making war on it. Existence is expansion. Contraction is death.

Government subsidies make noise on the network. Armies of talkers and takers heat it up demanding subsidies, “pork.” Their importunities and politicians’ expectations of graft dangerously heat the network. For example, talkers and politicians for ethanol remove food from supply chains that run to actual starving people.

Government subsidy excites the world-wide electronic network. Heat is a national security liability. Systematic elimination of government subsidies for just about everything is the domestic policy core of *Vigilant Quiet*.

Foreign Policy

Here I address foreign policy in the framework of a political philosophy, *Vigilant Quiet*. Technically and tactically, foreign war is won with some ease by modern United States Armed Forces. Given a strategic framework and a mission, no matter how large or complex, United States

Armed Forces can figure out the tactics of success. Without a strategic framework, a “grand strategy” within which rests a mission they are given, without a strategic posture governed by a joint domestic and strategic goal of the United States, United States Armed Forces cannot figure out a tactic of success because they cannot be given a clear or stable mission.

Rulers and other criminally insane have the same sense of grand strategy: none. Nor want they one. In fact, purposefully they reject strategic goal and posture as hinderance of their true love, which is whim and froth. When such command, grand strategy exist not and every “mission” given United States Armed Forces demands they head out “[into the blue](#),” with the shade or color likely to fluctuate or mutate by the instant.

This condition precludes planning and execution of military strategy and tactics:

- Paulus at Stalingrad,
- MacArthur at the Yalu,
- Beckwith at Desert One,
- Garrison at Mogadishu,
- Ham at Benghazi.

All under Socialist or Communist[Democratic] Party regimes.

Rulers defeat their countries’ armed forces.

Subsidy of foreign regimes, governments and NGOs in weapons, cash, loans, training, construction, “humanitarian supplies,” diplomatic access,

financial access, political organization, religious organization, industry, mining, etc. burns the subsidizing nation and destroys liberty and civilization in both peddler and client. Systematic elimination of government subsidies for just about everything is the foreign policy core of *Vigilant Quiet*.

All but the largest United States air and naval bases in Europe, North Africa, the Levant and the Middle East can be shuttered. Europe can take care of herself, and if she won't, that is her business alone. She is not facing an imminent land-based invasion except that of her own making: Mohammedan "immigration."

The United States can abandon NATO, a long-obsolete construction.

Europe, North Africa, the Levant and the Middle East face space-, air-, cyber-and land-based invasion, in differing degrees and emergent intention, from Iran, Turkey, Lebanon, Afghanistan, Pakistan, China, North Korea and, through proxies such as Haqqani, AQ, Taliban, Hamas and their relatives, Famiglia Saud. Still, Europe, to include Russia, can handle those threats successfully so long as she is not a suckling on the United States teat. The Bear leads the way there. It is his zone of the network.

United States interests in Europe, North Africa, the Levant and the Middle East are few and of low significance. A decade ago they were several and of high significance. But the opportunity, after a good start, was frittered away and then, since 2009, hurled out and murdered.

Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and Iraq can be replenishing the United States Treasury with the full cost of freeing them from Saddam Hussein. That righteous, enforceable demand was never made. The United States had no interest in removing Muammar Gaddafi from Libya, an Italian responsibility, and has none for anyone in Mali, a French responsibility. Libya in 2011 and Mali in 2013 were criminally insane commitments of United States resources. Likewise Somalia in 1993. A link-up with "the UN" is a game of pin the tail on the donkey.

The United States can secure her interests in Europe, North Africa, the Levant and the Middle East by maintaining there air and naval bases with large throughput capacity, that is, for land forces to be staged in then forward from bases in the homeland.

On the other hand, United States interests in the Pacific - north, south, east and west - are many and of high significance. Those interests dictate large land, air, sea, space and cyber assets based throughout the Pacific and focused on that zone of the world-wide electronic network.

The United States is a west-facing nation. Europe is a look back over the shoulder, a slushy, mushy sentimentality that disrobes its acolytes. Since World War Two, most United States vision is over the shoulder, back at Europe. And that has gained us precisely what? A dog face, doggie morals and sheer evening gowns. Along with bankruptcy - financial, intellectual and moral - loss of self-confidence and an hectoring adult dependent.

The majority of United States diplomatic and military assets belong in the Pacific zone of the global electronic network. There is the emergent threat to network temperature: China and North Korea. There, too, is the preponderance of power to defeat that threat and extirpate it (as can have been done in 1951 and ever since).

Russia

Observing Russia from the strategic goal and posture of *Vigilant Quiet*: if Richard Pipes is correct, and I believe he is, there is no essential difference in Russian strategic goal and posture as between Tzarist, Communist, Cold War and current Federation-ist periods.

The first section of Pipes' *Russia Under The Old Regime* covers geography/food and some linguistics. Both are determinative, especially with respect to food-stuffs and external communications.

Other essential points, also not changing essentially from period to period, are:

1. ruler's ownership of everything in the country, including people of all classes, now perhaps moderated a bit but still conceptually present, to include exemption from that ownership for the Russian Orthodox Church's clergy and property but still ruler-control of its hierarchy and policies,
2. fiercely cherished freedom to raise hell however they wish by the peasantry (free workers) and serfs (slave workers but not at all

situated as we think of slaves in the United States), to include running independent businesses beyond government taxation if they are serfs (because their owners already pay tax on them to the Tzar; some serf families in Tzarist Russia were richer than their owners and other noblemen, and owned more property because they were not taxed), and

3. sense of absolute moral and spiritual superiority over Western Europe (Catholic and Protestant) and, of course, all other religions and their civilizations.

The property ownership concept is important. Property ownership in Russia means ability to grow food and survive. Because so little property can grow food, especially north of the steppe, and what is grown is so mean in quantity and quality, property ownership in Russia is a psychic centrality. It affects the Near Abroad concept/emotion as well because the main Near Abroads, to the south and southeast, are food-producing. Survival is at the root of the Near Abroad fixation: food, very simply, food. And next after that, passage to the open seas, communications, which also is food, and much besides.

The sense of moral and spiritual absolute superiority over Western Europe is important. It is NOT race-based. It is religion-based and continues in Russia whether the religion is Orthodox Christianity or Communism, which is a Christian heresy (as contrasted with Nazism, which is a Christian apostasy; heresy and apostasy are different phenomena, having different consequences). Absolutism and superiority in religion and rule inheres in Russians. They breathe and bleed it.

Notwithstanding, Indians, Russians and United States Americans are brothers. Each thinks they are “top drawer,” but each also embodies truth and moral power as no other nations do. East and West unite in the strategic brotherhood of India, Russia and the United States.

These three are global strategic partners for centuries to come. Each of their histories is internally consistent, unlike that of most other countries. Only Japan and Germany embody histories with a similar degree of internal consistency. So, world-strategically, one may contemplate Japan and Germany as step-brothers of India, Russia and the United States, who are lead brothers of the triangular, or pentagonal, world-defining strategic formation for centuries to come.

Leaders will find [ways of inclusion and cooperation](#) among these brothers *in re* defensive and offensive measures *contra* China, Arab states, Afghans, North Korea, Pakistan and Iran. Personal exploration of ways and means by military and diplomatic leaders, over time, is indicated.

Russia has a strong Mongol connection (from interaction, invasion and subjection) as well as commercial and emotional connections with Iran - ancient Iran, Persia, not current Iran of the assahollahs. She has religio-historical connections with Greece, strong ones, and to a lesser extent with Turkey. Russia is the only country that actually integrates Moslems into a pluralistic society, dating from Tzarist centuries. See [Kazan](#), [Tatarstan](#). The Mongols were Moslems, titularly; actually, they were Mongols.

Russians have no natural affinity with Arabs or Afghans - or Pakistanis. Their relations with Arabs (Syria, Iraq, Egypt) are from shopping clients to oppose United States probes in Levant and Middle East during the Cold War. They wanted the United States at a distance from their Near Abroad and sought nations just outside or inside it to be nails for United States feet.

The natural affinity of the United States, Russia and India in this region is that none of them wants a new Mongol/Chinese domination/invasion or a bunch of inbred sheep stealers and goad herds (Arabs and Afghans) giving them headaches, much less orders.

United States' military/diplomatic task here is to induce the three nations, plus Germany and Japan, to impose their will simultaneously on Chinese, Arabs, North Koreans, Iranians, Pakistanis and Afghans. There is no moral, cultural or religious reason why that cannot be done and more than sufficient reason it can be. For "world peace." Or better, for "world cooling."

Want to stop "AGW"? Unite the five brothers to put a stop to Chinese, Arab, North Korean, Iranian, Pakistani and Afghan bullshit.

And finally: encourage senior United States military and diplomatic leadership to work up the strategic goal and posture of the United States and present that fearlessly to political leadership. If they won't do it, encourage junior United States military and diplomatic leadership to work it up and hand that to senior United States military and civilian

leadership. If seniors still sit on that, hand it off to the public, who will get the job done.

Epilogue

The CIA

The United States Central Intelligence Agency is a dangerous, malignant agency grown ever more malevolent over the decades. It has long served as a praetorian guard for the left wing of the Communist[Democratic] Party. Since 2009, the CIA has morphed into a paramilitary organization operating for its own purposes, foreign and domestic, and those of the current Communist[Democratic] Party regime. In addition, its personnel are stupid, lazy, incompetent, disorganized and disloyal to themselves, other agencies and their motherland. The CIA is an un-American, anti-American pandemonium.

The CIA is antithetical to the strategic goal and posture of *Vigilant Quiet*. It harms the United States. It deserves to be cleaned out and reformed or scrapped and its legitimate missions reconstituted as a new agency.

This will not be easy. Many will declare it impossible. Nothing is impossible. Anything is possible. Reforming or reconstituting the CIA is essential to cooling the world-wide electronic network and executing the grand strategy of *Vigilant Quiet*.

Cabinet Departments

Several cabinet-level departments of the US Executive Branch can be shuttered. These include DHS, DHUD, DEd, DOL and DOE, which are subsidy spigots for the Communist[Democratic] Party. National security-

related agencies such as Secret Service, Coast Guard, National Laboratories and ICE can return to their original departments or to remaining ones.

Quiet requires reduction of speakers and inputs. *Vigilance* requires fewer eyes, not more. Government subsidy is the canker of civilization.

The Communist[Democratic] Party doesn't give a damn about the United States or its citizens and inhabitants. It seeks drugs, sex and money. Its acolytes want all of those things they can get, by "fixing" elections. Thus the obligation to turn off subsidy spigots, to include cabinet-level departments of the US Executive Branch. Let *quiet* reign.

UAV Drones

Inside normal military operations, which rest inside a national strategic goal and posture, UAV drones are useful tools, like any other military-grade weapon. Outside normal military operations, UAV drones are tools of murder, engines of oppression, species of violence.

UAV drones are military-grade weapons for foreign wars on foreign soil. They have the same legitimacy as observation balloons and snipers during the Civil War Between The States, where, for a time, the United States was in the condition of two nations regarding one another as foreign territory. UAV drones have no legitimacy in domestic use. Not for surveillance, not for killing.

Nor have drones legitimacy for foreign use unless inside normal military operations which rest inside a national strategic goal and posture. Merely killing is not a military activity. In civilian hands - that is, non-professional hands - merely killing is what drones do, and only do, directly or indirectly through espionage. Merely killing is un-Soldierly. Military ethics and mission is to break an enemy's will to fight then foster him when he has surrendered. A Soldier minimizes killing.

When Soldiers kill, minimizing extent and amount, it is military operations. When civilians kill, it is murder. Soldiers minimize killing. Civilians, if they can, maximize it. [Russell Kirk](#):

A handful of individuals, some of them quite unused to moral responsibilities on such a scale, made it their business to extirpate the populations of [Nagasaki and Hiroshima](#); we must make it our business to curtail the possibility of such snap decisions.

UAV drones in civilian hands kill merely. They slaughter. No wonder rulers favor them. They circumvent morality and ethics and occur in secret. They are unrestrained by military and even political ethics. UAV drones in civilian hands are modern correlates of the [Einsatzgruppen](#). No governor would think of using UAV drones in place of normal military activity. As adjunct, yes. In place of, certainly not. That is unthinkable. And on a domestic population? That is even more unthinkable.

But not to a ruler. To such, drones are the modern tool of choice for any situation, foreign or domestic: kill 'em in secret. Numbers and collateral numbers not our problem. That is Evil.

Moral law always and everywhere supersedes statutory and administrative law. UAV drone use in civilian hands - CIA, law enforcement - whether for surveillance or lethality, whether against military or civilian targets, cannot be justified by legal staff or judicial opinion because it already stands condemned by moral law.

Killing, other than in personal self-defense, is a professional (military) activity inside an assigned mission inside a stated national strategic goal and posture or it is murder. Nationally constituted military only has professional moral authority to kill outside the condition of personal self-defense, where also, of course, authority to kill exists.

Only when liberating themselves from oppressive rule do civilians have moral authority for military operations. Even then, however, these must be led by military professionals or they operate for murder and must be defeated. Except for professionally led liberation from oppressive rule, military operations under the auspices of a national government are the only legitimate lethal force - besides self-defense - on the planet.

Paramilitary - police - operations under the auspices of a government also have legitimacy for use of lethal force, but for a far shorter list of circumstances and with far less total effect than those in the military brief.

The militarization of civilian - to include police - formations attests the evil influence of an evil regime. It signals the presence of rulers. Tyrants. Enemies of Christ, of Western Civilization.

UAV drones in the hands of civilians create enemies and destroy intelligence. In those hands, drones are not “surgical” instruments. They kill widely, not narrowly. In the hands of civilians, UAV drones are murder weapons, tools of terrorism. Survivors and those within earshot of the consequences of murder by civilian UAV drone arch up resentment at being overborne. Kill one and make his myriad family, friends and associates enemies because you have denied him a chance to defend himself, to be a man, to foster his family. You have trespassed his selfhood. And said family, friends and associates figure you will do the same to them without a thought for their selfhood.

Morality is respect for selfhood. Disrespect for selfhood defines immorality. Taunting and bullying disrespect selfhood. Moral philosophy is to political philosophy as its foundation is to an edifice.

Murdering “terrorists” eliminates their knowledge from the hyper-valuable database of intel on terrorist networks constructed over a decade and more. A dead “terrorist” cannot be interviewed. Mapping of his/her network cannot be expanded.

Over time, merely killing drives target opportunities to zero in quantity and quality, while the networks meanwhile flourish. Perpetrators can say through their PR channels that “the war against terrorist organizations” has been won because [originally known] “terrorists” have been eliminated. Un-mentioned is the order of magnitude increase in number and efficiency of “terrorists” recruited because killing their elders was preferred to learning from them who they recruited.

Kill enough "hims" and their network goes dark to blue intel even while it expands. Merely killing "hims" is a loss with zero benefit. The "hims" multiply in the dark, as do their acolytes.

UAV drones outside military operations defeat the war the nation is said to be fighting. Some other reasons for using drones outside military operations can be induced: love of killing, love of attention, love of anarchy, desire to overheat the world-wide electronic network. Those impulses are un-American and anti-American.

And [then](#) there [is this](#).

Abhorrence of civilian and para-military use of UAV drones to kill merely and/or spy merely unites a very large number of citizens rulers need to keep ignorant, divided and at each other's throats. Were the array of citizen agreement on this and other specifics to be seen by citizens themselves, rulers would dangle from lamp posts. And their propagandists.

A M D G